# **Theoretical conformational analysis of tetrapeptide Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe with disulfide linkage**

Jun Yoshimoto<sup>1</sup>, Akira Nishinaga<sup>2</sup>, Masahito Oka<sup>3,\*</sup>, Toshio Hayashi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Graduate School of Human Informatics, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-01, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Department of Applied Chemistry, Osaka Institute of Technology, Asahi-ku, Osaka 535, Japan

<sup>3</sup> Research Institute of Advanced Science and Technology,

Osaka Prefecture University, Sakai, Osaka 593, Japan

Received: 26 August 1996/Revised version: 18 September 1996/Accepted: 23 September 1996

#### **Summary**

Theoretical conformational analysis was carried out for the acyclic and cyclic tetrapeptides Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe using ECEPP and optimization procedure for investigating the conformational preference of peptides having disulfide linkage. Calculated results indicate that cyclic Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe forms compactly fold conformations with type II  $\beta$ -bend at the Pro-Gly portion, and also show fairly good agreement with experimental results of the NMR spectroscopy for the tetrapeptides having Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys sequence.

#### **Introduction**

Disulfide linkage formed between two Cys residues is an important factor for stabilizing three dimensional structures of proteins as well as non-covalent bonded interactions such as hydrogen bonds, hydrophobic interactions, and salt bridges between oppositely charged residues. From the viewpoint of designing artificial functional proteins, it is very important to analyze the conforrnational preference of peptides with disulfide linkage. In this work, as a first step of investigating the conformational preference of peptides with disulfide linkage, theoretical c0nformational analysis was carried our for the acyclic and cyclic tetrapeptides Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe using  $ECEPP(1)$  and optimization procedure.

### **Theoretical**

All conformational energy calculations were carried out with the energy functions of ECEPP. During minimizations, all  $\phi$  of Pro, ( $\phi$ ,  $\phi$ ) of Gly, ( $\phi$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $\chi$ <sup>1</sup>) of Ala, and  $(\phi, \phi, \chi^1, \chi^2)$  of cystein (abbreviate as CyH), were allowed to vary.  $\phi$  of Pro was fixed to -75°. All other backbone dihedral angles were fixed to 180°. Conformational energy of tetrapeptide Ac-AIa-Pro-Gly-AIa-NHMe, which is a model peptide of acyclic Ac-CyH-Pro-Gly-CyH-NHMe based on the Ala-residue approximation, was minimized using all combinations of the single-residue minima of Ala, Pro, and Gly residues( $9, 4$ ,

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author

and 9, respectively). As the first step of minimization of cyclic tetrapeptide Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe with disulfide bridge, conformational energy of dipeptide Ac-CyH-Pro-NHMe was minimized using all combinations of the single-residue minima of CyH and Pro residues(47 and 4, respectively). Then, conformational energy of acyclic tetrapeptides, Ac-CyH-Pro-Gly-CyH-NHMe, was minimized using ai1 combinations of the minima of Ac-CyH-Pro-NHMe and the single-residue minima of Gly and CyH residues as starting conformations. As the final step, conforrnational energy of cyclic tetrapeptide Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe with disulfide bridge was minimized using stable minima of acyclie Ac-CyH-Pro-GIy-CyH-NHMe.

A bend (occurring at  $i + 1$  and  $i + 2$ th residues) is defined as a conformation in which  $R \leq 7$ Å (R is the distance between i th C<sup>a</sup> and i +3th C<sup>a</sup> atoms.) and also classified into eleven types given in Table I of ref 2. A polar hydrogen atom and oxygen or nitrogen atom with an interatomic distance of less than  $2.3 \text{ Å}$  are regarded to be hydrogen-bonded. Vicinal NH-C<sup>"</sup>H coupling constants <sup>3</sup>JNH-C<sup>-</sup>H of Cys and Gly residues for Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe were computed using the equation derived by Bystrov *et al*(3) and normalized Boltzmann factor(*v*) for all minima with  $\triangle E$  = 3kcal/mol. Conformational space is divided into 16 regions with the conformational letter codes(CLC) shown in Figure 2 of ref 4.

## **Results and Discussion**

There were 811 energy minima for Ac-Ala-Pro-Gly-Ala-NHMe with  $\Delta$  $E<10.0$ kcal/mol, and 10 of them are shown in Table I. The lowest-energy conformation(Figure 1) is a compactly fold conformation(DCC\*C conformation) which takes type V-V' double bend at Pro-Gly-Ala portion stabilized by the hydrogen bonds  $(Ala) CO \rightarrow HN(G)y$  and  $(Pro) CO \rightarrow HN(Ala4)$ . The 2nd low-energy conformation(  $\Delta$  $E=0.11$ kcal/mol) is DCC\*F one which takes type V  $\beta$ -bend at Pro-Gly portion, and is also a compactly fold conformation stabilized by the  $(Ala)CO...HN(Gly)$  and (Pro)CO $\cdot\cdot$  HN(Ala4). As the atom-pair distance of (Pro)C<sup> $\alpha$ </sup> $\cdot\cdot$  C(NHMe) (7.3Å) is very close to the critical value of 7.0 Å of defining  $\beta$ -bend structures, this conformation is also regarded as a conformation analogous to the double-bend structures. All of 24 conformations with  $\Delta E \ll 2.0$  kcal/mol are also compactly fold conformations with  $\beta$ bend at Pro-Gly portion or with double bend at Pro-Gly-Ala portion.

There were 13877 energy minima for Ac-CyH-Pro-Gly-CyH-NHMe with  $\Delta$  $E$ <6.9kcal/mol, and 15 of them are shown in Table II. The lowest-energy conformation is a compactly fold conformation(DCC\*F conformation) which takes type V  $\beta$ -bend at Pro-Gly portion stabilized by the hydrogen bonds  $(CyH<sub>1</sub>)CO...HN(Gly)$  and  $(Pro)CO \rightarrow HN(CyH_4)$  as shown in Figure 2. As the atom-pair distance of  $(Pro)C^* \rightarrow$ C(NHMe) (7.1 Å) is very close to the critical value of 7.0 Å of defining  $\beta$ -bend structures, this conformation is also regarded as a conformation analogous to the doublebend structures. The 2nd low-energy conformation(  $\triangle E$ =0.04kcal/mol) is also DCC\*F one. Conformational difference between them is only found in  $\chi^2$  of CyH4, i.e.,  $\chi$  $2=180^\circ$  and -65° for the lowest- and 2nd low-energy conformations, respectively. The 3rd and 4th low-energy conformations(  $\Delta E=0.13$  and 0.15kcal/mol, respectively) are DCC\*C ones which take type V-V' double bend at Pro-Gly-CyH portion stabilized by the hydrogen bonds  $(CyH_1)CO \cdots HN(Gly)$  and  $(Pro)CO \cdots HN(CyH_4)$ . Their overall backbone conformations are very resemble to the DCC\*F ones. All of 30 stable

Conformational Letter Code	$\Delta E^{\circ}$ (kcal/mole)	$v^c$	Bend Type <sup>®</sup>	$\phi$ Ala1	$\phi$ Alal		$\phi$ Pro $\phi$ Gly		$\phi$ Gly $\phi$ Ala4 $\phi$ Ala4	
$DCC*C$	0.00	0.155	V V'	$-152$	79	75	80	$-78$	-86	80
$DCC*F$	0.11	0.130	v $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$	$-153$	80	82	86	-69	-80	146
$DCB*E$	0.42	0.077	П $\tilde{\phantom{a}}$	$-154$	79	79	103	$-47$	$-151$	157
$DCC*D$	0.43	0.076	v IV	$-151$	80	84	86	-70	$-149$	73
$DCD^*A$	0.59	0.058	Ш VII.	$-153$	80	79	161	-56	$-82$	$-38$
$DFC*A$	0.63	0.054	Ш Н	$-152$	80	157	85	-66	-69	$-47$
$DFC*C$	0.71	0.047	$II$ V	$-152$	80	141	80	$-77$	-87	76
$DCA*E$	0.89	0.035	П $\blacksquare$	$-152$	79	83	75	53	$-151$	158
$DCC^*D$	0.96	0.031	v Iv	$-151$	80	78	80	$-78$	$-154$	52
$DCD*C$	1.02	0.028	IV IV	$-152$	80	76	154	$-60$	$-88$	75

Table I. Calculated Minimum Energy Conformations' of Ac-AIa-Pro-GIy-AIa-NHMe

All minima with  $\Delta E < 1.04$  kcal/mole.

 $E_0 = -9.59$  kcal/mole,  $\Delta E = E-E_0$ 

~'qormalized Boltzmann factor at 300K.

"Bend type for Pro-Gly and Gly-Ala.



Fig. 1. The lowest-energy conformation(DCC\*C) of Ac-Ala-Pro-Gly-Ala-NHMe.

conformations with  $\Delta E < 0.5$  kcal/mol are one of the following 6 conformation-types whose CLC are DCC\*F(type V  $\beta$ -bend), DCC\*C (type V-V' double bend), DFC\*A(type II-II' double bend), DCC\*A(type V-II' double bend), DCC\*E(type V  $\beta$ bend) and DCC\*D(type V-IV double bend), and number of them are 5, 5, 9, 6, 3 and 2, respectively. Moreover, the atom-pair distances of  $(Pro)C<sup>\alpha</sup> \cdots C(NHMe)$  of DCC\*F and DCC\*E conformations are very close to the critical value of defining  $\beta$ -bend structures. That is, overall conformational feature of Ac-CyH-Pro-GIy-CyH-NHMe is compactly fold conformation with double-bend or double-bend like structure taking type V(which is a modified bend of type II) or type II  $\beta$ -bends at Pro-Gly portion. Above conformational feature is very resemble to that of Ac-AIa-Pro-GIy-AIa-NHMe. That is, all above 6 types of backbone conformations of Ac-CyH-Pro-GIy-CyH-NHMe are also found as the stable

Conformational Letter Code	$\Delta E^{\text{b}}$ (kcal/mole)	$v^{\mathsf{c}}$	Bend Type <sup>d</sup>	$\phi$ CyH1	$\phi$ CyH1				$\phi$ Pro $\phi$ Gly $\phi$ Gly $\phi$ CyH4	$\phi$ CyH <sub>4</sub>
$DCC*F$	0.00	0.013	V $\blacksquare$	$-155$	82	73	80	$-75$	-84	150
$DCC*F$	0.04	0.013	V $\blacksquare$	-155	81	73	80	$-74$	-85	150
$DCC*C$	0.13	0.011	v V.	$-155$	81	72	76	-82	-88	97
$DCC*C$	0.15	0.010	V' v	-155	83	72	77	$-82$	-88	98
$DFC*A$	0.21	0.010	$II$ $II'$	$-154$	83	164	84	$-68$	-72	$-50$
$DFC^*A$	0.23	0.009	$II$ $II$ <sup>*</sup>	$-154$	80	164	84	-68	$-71$	-50
$DCC*C$	0.23	0.009	v v	$-155$	83	72	76	-84	-90	90
$DCC^*A$	0.24	0.009	V II'	$-153$	83	81	79	-96	$-82$	$-52$
$DFC^*A$	0.24	0.009	$II$ $II'$	$-154$	83	164	84	$-68$	-79	-49
$DCC^*A$	0.26	0.009	$V$ II'	$-152$	83	81	79	-96	-82	$-52$
$DCC*E$	0.26	0.009	ν.	$-154$	82	79	89	$-62$	-150	158
$DFC*A$	0.26	0.009	$II$ $II'$	$-154$	83	164	84	-69	$-72$	-49
DCC*C	0.28	0.008	v ${\mathbf V}^{\scriptscriptstyle \text{f}}$	-155	80	72	76	$-83$	-90	90
$DCC^*A$	0.34	0.008	II' v	$-152$	83	82	80	-96	-81	$-53$
$DFC^*A$	0.35	0.008	$II$ $II'$	-154	83	164	85	$-67$	$-73$	-49

Table II. Calculated Minimum Energy Conformations' of Ac-CyH-Pro-GIy-CyH-NHMe

All minima with  $\Delta E < 0.36$  kcal/mole.

 ${}^{\circ}E_0 = -11.18$  kcal/mole,  $\triangle E = E-E_0$ 

%lormalized Boltzmann factor at 300K.

"Bend type for Pro-Gly and GIy-CyH.



Fig. 2. The lowest-energy conformation(DCC\*F) of Ac-CyH-Pro-GIy-CyH-NHMe.

conformations of Ac-AIa-Pro-GIy-Ala-NHMe in spite of the change in relative stability of each conformation. It suggests that the SH group of the cystein residue has not so important roles for stabilizing the backbone conformation of Ac-CyH-Pro-GIy-CyH-NHMe as a whole.

There were 41 energy minima for Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe with  $\Delta$ E<3.0kcal/mol, and 15 of them are shown in Table III. The lowest-energy conformation

Conformational Letter Code	$\Delta E^{\text{b}}$ (kcal/mole)	$v^c$	Bend Type <sup>d</sup>	$\phi$ Cys1	$\phi$ Cys1 $\phi$ Pro $\phi$ Gly $\phi$ Gly				$\phi$ Cys4	$\phi$ Cys4
$DCA*E$	0.00	0.201	п $\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$-153$	85	129	69	51	$-126$	144
DFA*D	0.10	0.169	$\mathbf{I}$ $\blacksquare$	-153	83	133	66	46	$-120$	84
<b>DAAA</b>	0.44	0.096	ш Ш	$-152$	90	-19	$-82$	-17	$-75$	-47
$DCA*F$	0.49	0.088	П $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$	-155	76	76	72	25	-72	153
<b>DAAC</b>	0.80	0.053	Ш $\tilde{\phantom{a}}$	$-152$	90	$-15$	$-87$	$-10$	$-83$	82
ECH*E	0.94	0.041	$\mathbf{I}$ $\ddot{\phantom{1}}$	$-157$	150	70	69	90	-150	157
$DCD*C$	0.97	0.039	$\mathbf{I}$ à,	$-154$	92	84	153	-29	$-57$	129
$ECA*E$	1.16	0.029	H $\overline{a}$	$-158$	155	98	76	81	$-155$	154
$DCD^*A$	1.31	0.022	П $\ddot{\phantom{1}}$	$-154$	93	84	154	$-30$	-58	-52
EADE	1.35	0.021	VII -	$-157$	151	$-24$	$-175$	96	$-155$	157
<b>DADC</b>	1.37	0.020	VII -	$-148$	87	-22	$-172$	61	-84	85
$A^*CA^*E$	1.37	0.020	Ħ $\overline{\phantom{a}}$	61	82	127	71	51	$-124$	146
$A*FA*D$	1.41	0.019	$\mathbf{I}$ ä,	61	81	132	68	46	$-118$	81
FCH*E	1.46	0.017	$_{\rm II}$ $\overline{a}$	-74	148	70	69	92	$-150$	156
$DFA*G$	1.48	0.017	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$-153$	83	134	67	43	$-118$	$-58$

Table III. Calculated Minimum Energy Conformations' of Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe

'All minima with  $\triangle E < 1.65$  kcal/mole.

 ${}^{\circ}$ E0 =-6,15 kcal/mole,  $\triangle$  E= E-E0

~ormalized Bottzmann factor at 300K.

'Bend type for Pro-Gly and Gly-Cys.



Fig. 3. The lowest-energy conformation(DCA\*E) of Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe.

is a DCA\*E conformation(Figure 3) taking type II bend at Pro-Gly portion. As shown in Figure 3, the atom-atom pairs  $(Pro)C^{\alpha}H\bullet\bullet\bullet HN(G)y$ ,  $(G/y)NH\bullet\bullet\bullet HN(Cys4)$  and  $(Gly)C<sup>\alpha</sup>H\cdots HN(Cys4)$  present very close contact, i.e., their distance are 2.1, 2.7 and 2.8 A, respectively. These short interatomic distances show fairly good agreements with NOE(5) observed in the two-dimensional NMR spectroscopy of Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe in aqueous solution. That is, a very strong NOE was observed between Pro  $C<sup>x</sup>H$ 

	C <sub>VS1</sub>	$\frac{1}{2}$ The construction of $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ Glv	- - - - - - - - - - Cys4
Calculated	7.8	12.9	8.5
Experimental <sup>a</sup>	8.0	$\tilde{\phantom{a}}$	7.0

Table IV. Vicinal Coupling Constant<sup>3</sup> J<sub>NH-C<sup>e</sup></sup> Hof Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe</sub>

 $a^*$ Boc-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe in  $(CD_3)_2$ SO from ref 6.

and Gly NH. A strong NOE was observed between Gly NH and Cys4 NH. A NOE was also observed between Gly C<sup> $\alpha$ </sup>H and Cys4 NH. Moreover, Cys<sub>1</sub> carbonyl oxygen and Cys4 amido proton present the close contact. This structural situation is also supported by the small temperature dependence of the chemical shift of the Cys4 amido proton(less than 4 ppb/ $^{\circ}$ C) in aqueous solution(5). The 2nd low-energy conformation( $\Delta$  $E=0.10$ kcal/mol) is a DFA\*D one. This conformation almost corresponds to the lowestenergy one with slight difference in the direction of peptide group at the C-terminal, Moreover, most of stable conformations also takes type  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \beta$ -bend at the Pro-Gly portion as shown in Table III. The 3rd low-energy conformation is DAAA conformation taking type III-III double bend at the Pro-Gly-Cys portion. The 5th low-energy conformation is DAAC one taking type III  $\beta$ -bend at the Pro-Gly portion, and its (Pro)C<sup>"</sup> • • • C(NHMe) is 7.1  $\AA$  indicating that this conformation is analogous to the double-bend structures. As both of DAAA and DAAC conformations don't show short inter-atom distances for  $(Pro)C<sup>\alpha</sup>H\bullet\bullet\bullet HN(Gly)$  and  $(Gly)C<sup>\alpha</sup>H\bullet\bullet\bullet HN(Cys4)$ , they are not expected as stable conformations in aqueous solution. The  $(\phi, \phi)$ -values of Gly residue in the most of stable conformations of Ac-CyH-Pro-Gly-CyH-NHMe take those in  $C^*$  region(40° <  $\phi$ ) <110°, -130° <  $\phi$  <-50°). However, there are no conformations taking  $(\phi, \phi)$ values of Gly residue in  $C^*$  region with  $\Delta E < 3.0$ kcal/mol for Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe. As shown in Table III, the  $(\phi, \phi)$  of Gly residue in the most of stable conformations of Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe take those in  $A^*$  region(40°  $\lt \phi \lt 110$ °,  $10^{\circ} < \phi < 90^{\circ}$  ) or A region(-110°  $< \phi < -40^{\circ}$ , -90°  $< \phi < -10^{\circ}$ ). Such a difference in the stable region of ( $\phi$ ,  $\phi$ )-plane between Ac-CyH-Pro-Gly-CyH-NHMe and Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe appeares as a difference in the conformational feature between them, i.e., double-bend structures are stable conformations for Ac-CyH-Pro-GIy-CyH-NHMe, however, they are not stable ones for Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe. All stable conformations in Table III, except the 3rd and 5th low-energy ones, take  $\beta$ -bends at the Pro-Gly portion and take non-bend structures at the Gly-Cys portion. Moreover, as clearly shown in Figures 2 and 3, the relative direction of the peptide backbone extending out of the N-terminal and the C-terminal of each peptide is remarkably different with or without the disulfide linkage. That is, whole conformational feature of Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe is remarkably different from that of Ac-CyH-Pro-GIy-CyH-NHMe, indicating that forming the disulfide linkage between two Cys residues significantly affects the conformational character of Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe.

Calculated occurring probability indicates that conformations taking type II  $\beta$ bend at the Pro-Gly portion are essentially favorable in the whole ensemble of Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe. It also corresponds to Falcomer et al.'s conclusion(6) that Ac-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe takes type II  $\beta$ -bend at the Pro-Gly portion in aqueous solution. Calculated vicinal NH-C<sup> $\alpha$ </sup>H coupling constants  $\beta$ JNH-C $\gamma$ H of Cys<sub>1</sub>, Gly and Cys<sub>4</sub> residues are shown in Table IV. They show good agreement with the experimental results for Boc-Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys-NHMe in (CD3)2SO solution(6).

Good agreements between calculated and experimental results strongly indicate that Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys sequence has a tendency forming type II  $\beta$ -bend at the Pro-Gly portion by forming disulfide bond between two Cys residues. These results suggest that the Cys-Pro-Gly-Cys sequence is very useful for designing the turn or hairpin structures in artificial proteins.

## **References**

- 1. Momany FA, McGuire RF, Burgess AW, Scheraga HA (1975) J Phys Chem 79:361
- 2. Zimmerman SS, Scheraga HA, (1977) Biopolymers 16:811
- 3. Bystrov VF, (1976) Prog NMR Spectroscopy 10:41
- 4. Zimmerman SS, Pottle MS, Nemethy G, Scheraga HA, (1977) Macromolecules 10:1
- 5. Falcomer CM, Meinwald YC, Choudhary I, Talluri S, Milburn PJ, Clardy J, Scheraga HA, (1992) J Am Chem Soc 114: 4036
- 6. Ravi A, Balaram T, (1984) Tetrahedron 40:2577